1	Anchorless cell surface proteins function as laminin-binding adhesins in Lactobacillus
2	rhamnosus FSMM22
3	
4	Ni Putu Desy Aryantini ¹ , Daisuke Kondoh ² , Keita Nishiyama ^{3,5} , Yuji Yamamoto ³ , Takao Mukai ³ , I
5	Nengah Sujaya ⁴ , Tadasu Urashima ¹ , and Kenji Fukuda ^{1,*}
6	
7	¹ Department of Animal and Food Hygiene, Obihiro University of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine,
8	Inada-cho, Obihiro, Hokkaido 080-8555, Japan.
9	² Department of Basic Veterinary Medicine, Obihiro University of Agriculture and Veterinary
10	Medicine, Hokkaido 080-8555, Japan.
11	³ Department of Animal Science, School of Veterinary Medicine, Kitasato University, Towada, Aomori
12	034-8628, Japan.
13	⁴ Integrated Laboratory for Bioscience and Biotechnology, Udayana University, Bukit Jimbaran
14	Campus, Badung, Bali, Indonesia.
15	⁵ Current address: Department of Microbiology, School of Pharmacy, Kitasato University, Minato-ku,
16	Tokyo 108-8641, Japan.
17	
18	*Corresponding author: Kenji Fukuda, Department of Animal and Food Hygiene, Obihiro University
19	of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, Inada-cho, Obihiro, Hokkaido 080-8555, Japan. Tel: +81-
20	155-49-5564; Fax: +81-155-49-5577; E-mail: fuku@obihiro.ac.jp
21	
22	One sentence summary: Laminin-binding cell surface proteins in Lactobacillus rhamnosus FSMM22.
23	
24	Keywords: cell surface proteins; host-microbial interactions; lactic acid bacteria; laminin; probiotics;

25 ribosomal proteins

26 ABSTRACT

27 Anchorless cell surface proteins (CSPs) were extracted with 1 M lithium chloride solution from

28 Lactobacillus rhamnosus FSMM22. Loss of the anchorless CSPs resulted in a two-fold decrease in

29 FSMM22 cells bound to a constitutive extracellular matrix glycoprotein, laminin, in vitro. DNA-

30 binding protein HU, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, lactate dehydrogenase, and 30S

31 ribosomal protein S19 (RpsS) were identified by mass spectrometry in the extract as laminin-binding

32 adhesins. Among the four proteins, RpsS was immunohistochemically confirmed to exist on the cell

33 surface. Our findings strongly suggest that anchorless CSPs can enhance bacterial adhesion to the host.

34 Introduction

35To achieve situational attachment/detachment to various adhesion sites on the host in response to 36 changes in the surrounding environment, commensal and pathogenic bacteria use several different 37 types of cell surface proteins (CSPs). For example, pilus adhesins (Lebeer et al. 2012) are cell wall 38 binding proteins that are strongly anchored to the bacterial cell wall (covalently bound through the 39 action of sortases, e.g. LPXTG proteins, or through non-covalent interactions). Another example is 40 anchorless proteins that associate weakly or moderately with the bacterial cell wall, such as 41 moonlighting proteins, which show multiple functions at different cellular localisation (Jeffery 1999; 42Kainulainen and Korhonen 2014). However, the full composition of CSPs has not yet been determined 43for any bacterial species, owing mainly to the wide variety and complexity of CSPs and their 44counterparts.

Lactobacillus rhamnosus strains FSMM15 and FSMM22 were previously isolated from fermented 4546 mare's milk as potential probiotics (Shi et al. 2012). These strains showed similar adhesion for porcine 47colonic mucin compared to Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG ATCC 53103 (LGG). Moreover, compared 48to FSMM15, FSMM22 showed about a 100-fold increase in the number of bacterial cells bound to the 49laminin (Shi et al. 2012). Therefore, these two strains have the potential to serve as a model for 50investigating the roles of CSPs in binding to laminin. A recent study by Nishiyama et al. (2015) 51revealed that an anchored CSP, mucus-binding factor, was important for the binding of FSMM22 to 52porcine colonic mucin and to some glycoproteins that compose the host's extracellular matrix protein (ECM), including laminin. To identify a variety of CSPs in FSMM15 and FSMM22 and focus on their 5354binding properties to laminin, 1 M lithium chloride (LiCl) solution, which is commonly used for the 55extraction of anchorless CSPs (Rojas et al. 2002), was used in this study.

56

57 Materials and Methods

58 Bacterial cell culture

59LGG was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). Single 60 colonies of L. rhamnosus FSMM15 and FSMM22 from our culture collection and LGG were statically 61pre-cultured in 15 mL of de Man-Rogosa-Sharpe (MRS) broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) for 20 h at 62 37°C. For the main culture, 0.4 to 1% of bacterial suspensions were inoculated into 250 mL of MRS 63 broth and incubated under anaerobic conditions using AnaeroPack Kenki (Mitsubishi Gas Chemical, 64Tokyo, Japan). After incubation for 20 h at 37°C, cells were pelleted by centrifugation at $3,000 \times g$ for 65 15 min at 4°C, washed twice with phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and used in the following 66 experiments.

67

68 CSP extraction and their effects on the laminin-adhesion properties of FSMM15 and FSMM22

69 CSPs were extracted from bacterial cells by suspension in either 1 M LiCl solution or PBS for 1 h

at 4°C with agitation. Then, the suspension was centrifuged at 8,000 × g for 30 min at 4°C, and the supernatant was filtered through a nitrocellulose membrane (0.2-µm pore size, Advantec, Japan). The filtrate was concentrated using Centriprep YM-3 (Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA), dialyzed against PBS with a 1-kDa molecular weight cut-off membrane (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA) at 4°C overnight, freeze dried, and kept at -30°C until use. Protein concentration was estimated spectrophotometrically at 280 nm under the assumption of $E^{1\%}_{1cm} = 10$.

To evaluate the effects of CSP removal on the laminin-binding properties of the FSMM strains, the bacterial cell number was determined before and after the extraction as previously described (Nishiyama et al. 2015), with a modification that bacterial cells were harvested at the stationary phase.

19

80 Inhibition enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

81 Inhibition ELISA was performed to detect laminin-binding proteins (LBPs) in the CSPs. 82 Approximately 2.5 µg of mouse laminin-111 (BD Biosciences, Bedford, MA, USA) was dissolved in 83 1 mL of 0.25 M carbonate-bicarbonate buffer (pH 9.6), and a 100- μ L aliquot was added per well of a 84 96-well Maxisorp plate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and incubated overnight at 85 4°C. Unbound laminin was removed by washing with 0.1% Tween-20 in PBS (PBS-T). To prevent unspecific binding of CSPs, wells were treated with 200 µL of 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in 86 87 PBS at 37°C for 2 h. Each of the lyophilized CSPs obtained from FSMM15 and FSMM22 was 88 reconstituted in 500 µL of 0.1% BSA in PBS; then the 100-µL aliquot was added and allowed to bind to laminin at 37°C for 2 h. As a control, 100 µL of 0.1% BSA solution was used. Unbound CSPs were 89 90 removed by washing with PBS-T; next, 100 µL of chicken polyclonal anti-laminin antibody (Abcam, 91 Cambridge, UK; diluted 1:20,000 with 1% BSA in PBS) was added and incubated at 37°C for 2 h. 92After removal of unbound anti-laminin antibody, 100 µL of goat anti-chicken IgY conjugated-93 horseradish peroxidase (Abcam; diluted 1:10,000 with 1% BSA in PBS) was added and incubated for 941 h at room temperature (RT). The titre was measured at 492 nm using a Multiskan FC microplate 95photometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

96

97 Isolation and identification of LBPs

98 LBPs were isolated from CSPs according to Muñoz-Provencio et al. (2011) with modifications. 99 Immobilization of laminin and the CSP binding reaction were performed as described above. In brief, 100 CSPs bound to the immobilized laminin were recovered with 60 µL of 1% (w/v) sodium dodecyl 101sulfate (SDS) solution by incubation at RT for 2 h with agitation. The SDS solution was thoroughly 102 dried and the CSPs were recovered with 25 µL of Laemmli buffer (Laemmli 1970), denatured at 95°C 103for 5 min, and then subjected to 12.5% SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE). A precision 104plus protein dual color standard (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) was used as a protein 105size marker. Protein bands were visualized using the Dodeca silver staining kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories)

106

according to the manufacturer's instruction and were then manually excised. Destaining of the gel 107pieces, in-gel digestion of the proteins, and protein identification using a mass spectrometer were 108performed as previously reported (Senda et al. 2011).

109

110 Immunohistochemical staining

111 A rabbit polyclonal antibody was prepared against a custom-made synthetic peptide for the N-112terminal 19 amino acid sequence, MGRSLKKGPFADAHLLKKI, of RpsS (GenBank ID: 113BAI42919.1). A biotinylated anti-rabbit IgG raised in goats was purchased from Vector laboratories 114 (Burlingame, CA, USA). Dead cells were stained with 10 μ g mL⁻¹ propidium iodide in PBS before 115fixation. Harvested cells were washed with PBS and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at RT for 11630 min. After incubation, cells were washed with PBS, and then incubated with 400 μ g mL⁻¹ lysozyme 117in PBS at 37°C for 30 min to partially degrade the cell wall. Then, cells were washed with PBS, and 118 one drop of the cell suspension was spotted onto a glass slide. After the solvent dried, cells were 119washed with distilled water. To detect total cells, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) staining was 120performed, applying 10 µg ml⁻¹ DAPI in PBS at RT for 5 min. For immunohistochemical staining of 121RpsS, cells were incubated with 0.3% H₂O₂ in methanol at RT for 30 min to eliminate endogenous 122peroxidase activity and were also incubated with 3% normal goat serum at RT for 30 min to block 123non-specific reactions. After removal of the goat serum, cells were incubated with anti-RpsS 124antibodies (1:50 in dilution buffer) at RT for 2 h. After this incubation, cells were washed with PBS 125and then incubated with the biotinylated anti-rabbit IgG (7.5 μ g mL⁻¹ in dilution buffer) at RT for 1 h. 126After washing with distilled water, cells were incubated with an avidin: biotinylated enzyme complex 127(Vector laboratories) at RT for 30 min. For colour development, cells were incubated with PBS 128containing 0.02% 3,3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB) and 0.006% H₂O₂.

129The effects of artificial gastric and intestinal fluid treatment on the presence of cell-surface RpsS 130were examined according to Fernadez et al. (2003). Approximately 10^{10} cells were incubated in 10 131mL of artificial gastric juice (125 mM NaCl, 7 mM KCl, 45 mM NaHCO₃, 3 g L⁻¹ pepsin, pH 3.0). 132The bacterial suspensions were incubated at 37°C anaerobically with agitation for 180 min. Then, the 133cells were collected, suspended in 10 mL of artificial intestinal fluids (0.1% pancreatin, 0.15% oxgall 134in distilled water, pH 8.0), incubated as previously described, and followed by immunohistochemical 135staining.

136

137

Quantification of rpsS mRNA levels in Lactobacillus strains using real-time RT-PCR

138Total RNA was extracted from FSMM15, FSMM22, and LGG at the mid-exponential growth 139phase using RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. 140Reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was performed to synthesize single strand 141cDNA, according to the provided protocol. In this study, two housekeeping genes, gapdh and the 16S

 $\mathbf{5}$

142rRNA gene, were used as internal controls to predict the relative expression level of *rpsS* genes. 143 Standard curves were constructed in duplicate using the PCR products of rpsS, gapdh, and 16S rRNA 144gene using a single colony of LGG as a template. To obtain a 10-fold serial dilution in the range of 145 10^8 to 10^1 for real-time PCR, cDNA concentration was adjusted to 500 ng/µL of EB buffer (Qiagen), 146 diluted 10-fold, and subjected to real-time PCR reaction using Power CYBR Green PCR master mix 147(Thermo Fisher Scientific). Real-time PCR was performed by using the STEP ONE plus real-time 148PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The cycle conditions were as follows: 95°C for 10 min, 40 149cycles of 95°C for 9 sec, 57.5°C or 60.5°C for 1 min, and followed by a dissociation step of 95°C for 15015 sec, 60°C for 1 min, and 95°C for 15 sec to determine the arbitrarily-place threshold ($C_{\rm T}$) values 151of the amplicons. The gene copy numbers of the samples were analysed using the absolute 152quantification method by extrapolating the $C_{\rm T}$ values of the samples and the standard curves. The 153analysis was performed using StepOne software for StepOne and StepOnePlus real-time PCR system 154Version 2.2.2. Primers used in this study are listed in Table 1.

155

156 Western blotting

157Proteins in an SDS-PAGE gel were transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane using Table 1 158mini-trans-blot electrophoretic transfer cell (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Blocking was performed with 5% 159(w/v) blocking agent (GE Healthcare) in PBS-T at RT for 2 h. After rinsing with PBS-T, the membrane 160 was incubated with an anti-RpsS antibody (diluted 1:5,000) in PBS-T at 4°C overnight. After washing 161with PBS-T, the membrane was incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit 162IgG (diluted 1:50,000) at RT for 1 h. Signals were developed with ECL prime Western blotting 163 detection reagent (GE Healthcare) and analysed using Ez-Capture MG (Atto, Tokyo, Japan). An anti-164RNA polymerase antibody (diluted 1:1,000; Neoclone, Madison, WI, USA) was used to detect RNA 165polymerase as a cytosolic protein marker.

166

167 Statistical analysis

Experiments were performed in triplicate from three independent cultures and expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. Cell viability and protein concentration were analysed by Student's t-test. Analysis of variance with post-hoc Dunnet's test was used for ELISA experiments.

171

172 **Results**

173 Profiles of CSPs extracted from FSMM15 and FSMM22 with 1 M LiCl

174 CSPs yielded 143 \pm 12 and 580 \pm 60 μg mL $^{-1}$ in FSMM15 and FSMM22, respectively. Cell

175 viabilities before and after extraction were 1.6 x 10⁸ and 1.5 x 10⁸ colony forming units (CFU) mL⁻¹

- 176 for FSMM15, respectively, and 1.3 x 10⁸ and 1.2 x 10⁸ CFU mL⁻¹ for FSMM22, respectively, indicating
- that cell damage was negligible (Table S1). Removal of CSPs led to an approximately 2-fold decrease

Table S1

178	in the laminin-binding ability of FSMM22 but not of FSMM15, indicating that CSPs act as laminin	
179	adhesins on the cell surface (Fig. 1). As shown in Fig. 2, the band patterns of CSPs in FSMM15 and	Fig. 1
180	FSMM22 1M LiCl extracts were highly similar. In contrast, proteins that bound to laminin were	Fig. 2
181	present in trace amounts in FSMM15, whereas several bands were observed in FSMM22. The	
182	FSMM22 CSPs extracted with 1M LiCl solution significantly decreased the ELISA titre compared to	
183	that of the control (Fig. 3), supporting the result obtained through SDS-PAGE analysis. Mass	Fig. 3
184	spectrometry analysis revealed that DNA-binding protein HU (HUP), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate	
185	dehydrogenase (GAPDH), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), and 30S ribosomal protein S19 (RpsS) were	
186	a part of the LBPs in the FSMM22 CSPs extracted with 1M LiCl solution (Fig. S1).	Fig. S1
187		

. . .

188 Detection of RpsS present on the cell surface of FSMM22 by immunohistochemical staining

189The binding specificity of the primary antibody was confirmed by Western blotting (Fig. S2). RpsSFig. S2190was clearly detected in the cell surface region of FSMM22 but not in FSMM15 (Fig. 4). The numberFig. 4191of RpsS on the surface of living FSMM22 cells decreased after the cells were damaged by treatmentFig. 4192with artificial gastric and intestinal fluids, because the thickness of the DAB-stained dark brown layersurrounding the bacterial cells apparently decreased. Binding of the primary antibody against RpsS194was inhibited under the presence of the antigen peptide (Fig. S3).Fig. S3

195

196 Gene and protein expression levels of RpsS in *L. rhamnosus* FSMM15 and FSMM22

To better understand the different RpsS numbers on the cell surface of FSMM15 and FSMM22 cells, the mRNA and protein expression levels of RpsS in the two strains were investigated. Consequently, there was no significant difference in the expression level of *rpsS* during the midexponential growth phase of FSMM15 and FSMM22; *gapdh* and 16S rRNA genes were used as controls (Fig. 5A). In contrast, RpsS was detectable in the 1 M LiCl and cell-free FSMM22 extracts but not in FSMM15 extracts (Fig. 5B).

Fig. 5

203

204 Discussion

205Nishiyama et al. (2015) reported that a FSMM22 mucus-binding protein deletion mutant lost one-206half of its laminin-binding ability; therefore, our results suggest that the other half should be attributed 207 to anchorless CSPs that are extractable with 1 M LiCl. FSMM15 adhered to laminin to some extent 208(Shi et al. 2012), despite the lower amount of extracted LBPs in FSMM15 compared to that in 209FSMM22; thus, FSMM15 may express different types of CSPs, such as lmb, a LBP found in 210Streptococcus agalactiae (Spellerberg et al. 1999) and laminin-binding microbial surface components 211recognizing adhesive matrix molecules (Sillanpää et al. 2004). The distribution of CSPs responsible 212for adhesion to the host seems to be bacterial strain dependent as was previously reported (Mackenzie 213et al. 2010). Whether the FSMM strains are piliated is unknown. Laminin-binding ability has already 214been described for GAPDH, which associates with the cell wall of Candida albicans (Gozalbo et al. 2151998). It is also likely for HUP because an HUP homolog in Mycobacterium tuberculosis showed 78% 216identity of amino acids towards a 21-kDa LBP found in Mycobacterium leprae (Shimoji et al. 1999). 217LDH is also known to function as a moonlighting protein, e.g. an eye lens protein in geckos (van 218Rheede et al. 2003); however, there is currently no report in relation to laminin binding. Further 219experiments are needed to confirm the laminin-binding ability of LDH given the possibility of 220complex formation between LDH and GAPDH, as was found in a multicomponent Oct-1 coactivator 221that is essential for S phase-dependent histone H2B transcription (Zheng et al. 2003), cannot be 222excluded. Previously reported laminin binding moonlighting proteins, such as enolase (Antikainen et 223al. 2007a), glutamine synthetase (Kainulainen et al. 2012), and malate synthase (Kinhikar et al. 2006), 224were not found in this study.

225RpsS is a small protein with an approximate molecular mass of 10,000 that exists in a complex 226with 30S ribosomal protein S13, which binds to 16S rRNA in the prokaryotic small ribosomal subunit 227(Schwarzbauer and Craven 1985). Among lactic acid bacteria, RpsS has been found on the cell surface 228of Lactococcus lactis NZ900 grown in M17 medium supplemented with 0.5% glucose (Berlec et al. 2292011) and L. rhamnosus grown under heavy metal stress (Sreevani et al. 2014), while 30S ribosomal 230protein S5 was abundantly present in the surface-exposed proteome of LGG after bile stress 231(Koskenniemi et al. 2011). Extraribosomal functions of ribosomal proteins have been well studied; 232these functions expand beyond protein synthesis to encompass many biological processes, including 233replication, transcription, and RNA processing (Wool 1996). Thus far, laminin-binding ability has been 234attributed to the 40S ribosomal protein SA, which is a 67-kDa laminin receptor in vertebrates (Auth 235and Brawerman 1992; Ardini et al. 1998). Although our data strongly suggest that the RpsS moonlights 236on the cell surface as an LBP, further experiments are needed, e.g. inhibition of bacterial cell adhesion 237to laminin using an appropriate anti-RpsS antibody. However, our preliminary experiment attempting 238to inhibit FSMM22 adherence to immobilized laminin through the addition of anti-RpsS antibodies 239was unsuccessful (Fig S4). Immunohistochemical staining was successful only when the bacterial cell 240wall peptidoglycan was partially degraded by lysozyme; therefore, the binding epitope seems to not 241be exposed to the solvent, and this might be the reason why the preliminary experiment did not succeed. 242There was no significant difference between the mRNA expression levels of the rpsS gene in 243FSMM15 and FSMM22. On the other hand, no positive band could be detected even in the cell-free 244extract of FSMM15 by Western blotting analysis, implying the occurrence of an unknown variation in 245the N-terminal region of FSMM15 RpsS. These observations led us to assume the existence of a 246specific RpsS transport pathway from the cytosol to the cell surface of FSMM22 cells, although further 247experiments are needed. In fact, the molecular mechanism of transporting anchorless CSPs is controversial. There is experimental evidence to support the presence of an unknown export pathway 248249of moonlighting proteins (Boël et al. 2005), secretion from dead or traumatized cells (Stephenson et

al. 1999), and increased membrane permeability (Saad et al. 2009). These alternatives are not entirely

- 251 mutually exclusive as mentioned by Kainulainen and Korhonen (2014). RpsS is a highly basic protein
- with a theoretical pI value of around 10, which may support its presence on the bacterial cell surface
- 253 *via* electrostatic interaction; however, the pH-dependent attachment/detachment observed in acidic
- enolase (pI = 4.8) and GAPDH (pI = 5.2) from *Lactobacillus crispatus* (Antikainen et al. 2007b) is
- 255 not likely the case for RpsS.
- 256 To summarize, HUP, GAPDH, LDH, and RpsS were extractable with 1 M LiCl in L. rhamnosus
- FSMM22, but not FSMM15, as a part of CSPs that enhanced the bacterial adhesion to laminin. The
- cell surface localisation of RpsS in lactobacilli was immunohistochemically confirmed for the first
- time. Our findings suggest that the host-bacterial interaction is influenced by the abundance of
- anchorless CSPs in addition to contributions by pilus adhesins and anchored CSPs.

261 **REFERENCES**

Antikainen J, Kuparinen V, Lähteenmäki K, et al. Enolases from Gram-positive bacterial pathogens
 and commensal lactobacilli share functional similarity in virulence-associated traits. *FEMS*

264 Immunol Med Microbiol 2007a;**51**:526-34.

- Antikainen J, Kuparinen V, Lähteenmäki K, et al. pH-dependent association of enolase and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase of *Lactobacillus crispatus* with the cell wall and lipoteichoic acids. *J Bacteriol* 2007b;**189**:4539-43.
- Ardini E, Pesole G, Tagliabue E, et al. The 67-kDa laminin receptor originated from a ribosomal protein that acquired a dual function during evolution. *Mol Biol Evol* 1998;**15**:1017-25.
- Auth D, Brawerman G. A 33-kDa polypeptide with homology to the laminin receptor: component of
 translation machinery. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1992;89:4368-72.
- Berlec A, Zadravec P, Jevnikar Z, et al. Identification of candidate carrier proteins for surface display
 on *Lactococcus lactis* by theoretical and experimental analyses of the surface proteome. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2011;77:1292-300.
- Boël G, Jin H, Pancholi V. Inhibition of cell surface export of group A streptococcal anchorless surface
 dehydrogenase affects bacterial adherence and antiphagocytic properties. *Infect Immun*2005;73:6237-48.
- Fernández MF, Boris S, Barbés C. Probiotic properties of human lactobacilli strains to be used in the
 gastrointestinal tract. *J Appl Microbiol* 2003;**94**:449-55.
- Frank JA, Reich CI, Sharma S, et al. Critical evaluation of two primers commonly used for
 amplification of bacterial 16S rRNA genes. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2008;74:2461-70.
- 282 Gozalbo D, Gil-Navarro I, Azorín I, et al. The cell wall-associated glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate
- dehydrogenase of *Candida albicans* is also a fibronectin and laminin binding protein. *Infect Immun*1998;66:2052-9.
- 285 Jeffery CJ. Moonlighting proteins. *Trends Biochem Sci* 1999;**24**:8-11.
- 286 Kainulainen V, Loimaranta V, Pekkala A, et al. Glutamine synthetase and glucose-6-phosphate
- isomerase are adhesive moonlighting proteins of *Lactobacillus crispatus* released by epithelial
 cathelicidin LL-37. *J Bacteriol* 2012;**194**:2509-19.
- Kainulainen V, Korhonen TK. Dancing to another tune-adhesive moonlighting proteins in bacteria.
 Biology (Basel) 2014;**3**:178-204.
- Kinhikar AG, Vargas D, Li H, et al. Mycobacterium tuberculosis malate synthase is a laminin-binding
 adhesin. *Mol Microbiol* 2006;60:999-1013.
- Koskenniemi K, Laakso K, Koponen J, et al. Proteomics and transcriptomics characterization of bile
 stress response in probiotic *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG. *Mol Cell Proteomics*2011;10:M110.002741.
- Laemmli UK. Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4.

- 297 Nature 1970;227:680-5.
- Lebeer S, Claes I, Tytgat HL, et al. Functional analysis of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG pili in relation
 to adhesion and immunomodulatory interactions with intestinal epithelial cells. *Appl Environ*

300 *Microbiol* 2012;**78**:185-93.

- Mackenzie DA, Jeffers F, Parker ML, et al. Strain-specific diversity of mucus-binding proteins in the
 adhesion and aggregation properties of *Lactobacillus reuteri*. *Microbiology* 2010;156:3368-78.
- 303 Muñoz-Provencio D, Pérez-Martínez G, Monedero V. Identification of surface proteins from
- 304Lactobacillus casei BL23 able to bind fibronectin and collagen. Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins3052011;3:15-20.
- Nishiyama K, Nakamata K, Ueno S, et al. Adhesion properties of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* mucus binding factor to mucin and extracellular matrix proteins. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* 2015;**79**:271 9.
- Perkins DN, Pappin DJ, Creasy DM, et al. Probability-based protein identification by searching
 sequence databases using mass spectrometry data. *Electrophoresis* 1999;20:3551-67.
- Rojas M, Ascencio F, Conway PL. Purification and characterization of a surface protein from
 Lactobacillus fermentum 104R that binds to porcine small intestinal mucus and gastric mucin. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2002;68:2330-6.
- Saad N, Urdaci M, Vignoles C, et al. *Lactobacillus plantarum* 299v surface-bound GAPDH: a new
 insight into enzyme cell walls location. *J Microbiol Biotechnol* 2009;19:1635-43.
- Schwarzbauer J, Craven GR. Evidence that *E. coli* ribosomal protein S13 has two separable functional
 domains involved in 16S RNA recognition and protein S19 binding. *Nucleic Acids Res*1985;13:6767-86.
- Senda A, Fukuda K, Ishii T, et al. Changes in the bovine whey proteome during the early lactation
 period. *Anim Sci J* 2011;82:698-706.
- Shi T, Nishiyama K, Nakamata K, et al. Isolation of potential probiotic *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* strains from traditional fermented mare milk produced in Sumbawa Island of Indonesia. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* 2012;**76**:1897-903.
- Shimoji Y, Ng V, Matsumura K, et al. A 21-kDa surface protein of *Mycobacterium leprae* binds
 peripheral nerve laminin-2 and mediates Schwann cell invasion. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1999
 17:96:9857-62.
- Sillanpää J, Xu Y, Nallapareddy SR, et al. A family of putative MSCRAMMs from *Enterococcus faecalis*. *Microbiology* 2004;**150**:2069-78.
- 329 Spellerberg B, Rozdzinski E, Martin S, et al. Lmb, a protein with similarities to the LraI adhesin family,
- 330 mediates attachment of *Streptococcus agalactiae* to human laminin. *Infect Immun* 1999;**67**:871-8.
- Sreevani S, Chandrasekhar K, Pramoda Kumari J. Structural dynamics of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* proteins under copper sulphate and zinc chloride stress. *IJPSR* 2014;**5**:4233-9.

- Stephenson K, Bron S, Harwood CR. Cellular lysis in *Bacillus subtilis*; the effect of multiple
 extracellular protease deficiencies. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 1999;29:141-5.
- 335 van Rheede T, Amons R, Stewart N, et al. Lactate dehydrogenase A as a highly abundant eye lens
- protein in platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*): upsilon (υ)-crystallin. *Mol Biol Evol* 2003;**20**:994-
- 337

8.

- 338 Wool IG. Extraribosomal functions of ribosomal proteins. *Trends Biochem Sci* 1996;21:164-5.
- 339 Zheng L, Roeder RG, Luo Y. S phase activation of the histone H2B promoter by OCA-S, a coactivator
- 340 complex that contains GAPDH as a key component. *Cell* 2003;**114**:255-66.

341 Figure legends

Fig. 1. Effects of CSP removal on the adhesive properties of *L. rhamnosus* FSMM15 and FSMM22 on laminin. Filled bars, relative bacterial cell numbers that bound to laminin prior to 1 M LiCl extraction; diagonal bars, relative bacterial cell numbers that bound to laminin after 1M LiCl extraction. The asterisk represents a statistically significant difference with p < 0.05 (n = 3).

346

Fig. 2. SDS-PAGE profiles of the CSPs and LBPs extracted with 1 M LiCl from *L. rhamnosus* FSMM15 and FSMM22. CSPs, cell surface proteins; LBPs, laminin-binding proteins; control, 0.1% BSA in PBS was used in the isolation procedure of LBPs instead of CSP solution; size marker, a precision plus protein dual color standard from 10-250 kDa (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Protein bands were visualized by silver staining. The bands indicated by numbers were subjected to protein identification by mass spectrometry analysis. GAPDH and LDH were found in band 5, whereas RpsS and HUP were detected in band 8.

354

Fig. 3. Inhibition ELISA using the CSPs extracted with 1 M LiCl from *L. rhamnosus* FSMM15 and FSMM22. As a control, 0.1% BSA in PBS (filled bar) was used for ELISA, whereas the CSP solutions were extracted with either PBS (diagonal bars) or 1 M LiCl (dotted bars) as described in the Materials and Methods. When proteins in the CSP solutions bound to the immobilized laminin, binding of the primary antibody to the immobilized laminin was hampered, and thereby, the titre showed a significant decrease compared to that in the control. The asterisk represents a statistically significant difference to the control with p < 0.001 (n = 3).

362

363 Fig. 4. Anti-RpsS immunohistochemical staining of L. rhamnosus FSMM15 and FSMM22. 364 'Before' and 'After' indicate before and after treatment with artificial gastric and intestinal fluids, 365respectively. DAPI, PI, and Anti-RpsS indicate microscopic images of the bacterial cells stained with 366 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole, propidium iodide, and 3,3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride, 367 respectively. Identical microscopic fields are shown for each staining method. Areas in which viable 368 cells were observed (stained not with PI but with DAPI) were squared in the DAB staining images and 369 are shown at higher magnification (High mag). White bars in the DAPI staining images represent a 370 length of 1 µm.

371

372 Fig. 5. Expression levels of RpsS (A) mRNA and (B) protein in L. rhamnosus FSMM15 and

373 **FSMM22.** In panel (A), the *rpsS* mRNA expression levels of the two FSMM strains were evaluated

by real-time RT-PCR using the 16S rRNA (filled bars) and *gapdh* (diagonal bars) genes as controls.

- 375 Error bars in the graphs represent the standard deviation (n = 3). In panel (B), the presence of RpsS in
- the 1 M LiCl extracts and in the cell-free extracts is shown. The cell-free extracts were prepared from

- 377 bacterial cells obtained from 100 mL of the 20-h-culture broth. Cells were harvested, suspended in 20
- 378 mL of PBS, and then sonicated (20% amplitude for 3 min with 1 min interval, 7 times, on ice) using
- the Vibra-Cell VC505 (Sonics & Materials, Newtown, CT, USA).



Fig. 1. Aryantini et al.



Fig. 2. Aryantini et al.



Fig. 3. Aryantini et al.



Fig. 4. Aryantini et al.



Fig. 5. Aryantini et al.

Genes ¹⁾	Primers	Sequence	Length	$T_{\rm m}$	GC	Amplicon size
		(5'→3')	(bp)	(°C)	(%)	(bp)
	rpsS-F	ATGGGTCGCAGTCTTAAAAAAG	22	54	40.9	- 282
rpsS	rpsS-R	CTAGCGTGCTGTTGTCTTCTTGTC	24	60	50.0	
(gi 258506995)	rpsS qPCR-F	TACACCATCGCCGTTTAC	18	54	50.0	- 82
	rpsS qPCR-R	TTCGCCTAACTTGTGACC	18	54	50.0	
	$27F^{2)}$	AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG	20	56	50.0	- 1528
16s rRNA	$1492R^{2}$	TACCTTGTTACGACTT	16	45	37.5	
(gi 507147971)	16s rRNA qPCR-F	GTAGGGAATCTTCCACAATGGACG	24	60	50.0	201
	16s rRNA qPCR-R	GTTCCACTGTCCTCTTCTGCAC	22	61	54.5	521
	gapdh-F	TACTTTCCCTGGTGAAGTTAGT	22	54	40.9	- 533
gapdh	gapdh-R	CCTGTAACTTGCCGTTCAATTC	22	57	45.5	
(gi 258506995)	gapdh qPCR-F	CAAAGCGTGTTCTGATTTCTGC	22	57	45.5	150
	gapdh qPCR-R	CCTGGTTCAGGAAGTAAGCC	20	58	55.0	- 138

Table 1. Primers used in this study for real-time RT-PCR.

¹⁾GenBank accession numbers are in parentheses. ²⁾Frank et al.